Derek W. Loeser (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101 Tel.: (206) 623-1900 Fax: (206) 623-3384

dloeser@kellerrohrback.com

Lesley E. Weaver (SBN 191305) BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP

555 12th Street, Suite 1600

Oakland, CA 94607 Tel.: (415) 445-4003 Fax: (415) 445-4020 lweaver@bfalaw.com

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel Additional counsel listed on signature page Orin Snyder (admitted *pro hac vice*) GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

200 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10166-0193

Tel.: 212.351.4000 Fax: 212.351.4035

osnyder@gibsondunn.com

Deborah Stein (SBN 224570)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197

Tel.: 213.229.7000 Fax: 213.229.7520 dstein@gibsondunn.com

Attorneys for Defendant Facebook, Inc. Additional counsel listed on signature page

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1

IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. CONSUMER
PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2843
Case No. 18-md-02843-VC-JSC

This document relates to:

ALL ACTIONS

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER ON RESOLVING SEARCH
STRING DISPUTES FOR CUSTODIANS
IN GROUPS 5 TO 8

### THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The parties previously stipulated to a process for negotiating search strings for the custodians in Groups 5 to 8, including for resolving disputes between the parties' "final proposals." *See* Dkt. 573.
- 2. Pursuant to this process, the parties submitted their "final" search string proposals on January 8, 2021. There are currently 49 disputed strings and/or custodian groupings between the two proposals. Plaintiffs' January 8 proposal hit on approximately 3.31 million documents with families, excluding documents that hit on the finalized search strings for the custodians in Groups 1 to 4. Facebook's January 8 proposal hit on approximately 1.97 million documents with families, excluding documents that hit on the finalized search strings for the custodians in Groups 1 to 4.
- 3. For efficiency, and to preserve party and judicial resources, the parties agree to resolve remaining disputes as to the 49 disputed strings and/or custodian groupings through a negotiated compromise, intended to approximate the mid-way point between the parties' final proposals, as follows:
- 4. Within seven (7) days of the date this stipulation is entered, Plaintiffs will send Facebook a revised version of their January 8 "final proposal." Plaintiffs' revised proposal will not revise any specific strings or custodian groupings from their January 8 proposal. Instead, for each disputed string, Plaintiffs will: (a) continue to propose their January 8 proposal for that string, (b) accept Facebook's January 8 proposal for that string, or (c) drop the string.
- 5. Within five (5) days of receiving Plaintiffs' revised final proposal, Facebook will send Plaintiffs the total deduplicated hit counts on Plaintiffs' revised proposal, with families, excluding documents that hit on the finalized search strings for the custodians in Groups 1 to 4. These are the only hit counts Facebook will generate with respect to Plaintiffs' revised final proposal(s). If the document hits with families for Plaintiffs' revised proposal is between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, Facebook will accept the proposal in full.

1

- 6. If the document hits with families for Plaintiffs' revised proposal is not between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, the parties will repeat the process outlined in Paragraphs 4 and 5, under the same timing requirements. If the document hits with families for Plaintiffs' second revised proposal is between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, Facebook will accept the proposal in full.
- 7. If the document hits with families for Plaintiffs' second revised proposal is not between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, the parties will meet and confer for no more than five (5) business days to discuss additional revisions to the proposal. Thereafter, the parties will repeat the process outlined in Paragraphs 4 and 5, under the same timing requirements. If the document hits with families for Plaintiffs' third revised proposal is between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, Facebook will accept the proposal in full.
- 8. If the document hits with families for Plaintiffs' third revised proposal is not between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, Facebook will then revise the proposal within seven (7) days. If the document hits with families for Facebook's revised proposal is between 2.59 million and 2.69 million documents, Plaintiffs' will accept the proposal in full.
- 9. Once a proposal has been accepted in full, Facebook will provide Plaintiffs the total deduplicated number of document hits and document hits plus families for all of the accepted search strings for Groups 5 to 8. Facebook will also provide Plaintiffs with a list of the finalized search strings (as run, including subparts) and custodians for Groups 5 to 8.
- 10. The parties agree to this process solely as a compromise for purposes of efficiency and to preserve judicial and party resources. Accordingly, the parties expressly reserve all objections to the disputed strings, custodian groupings, and document hit counts, and accepting or dropping strings through this process shall not be construed as a concession on any string or custodian's relevance, appropriateness, or necessity. The hit count range agreed upon in this agreement is a compromise intended only to approximate the mid-way point between the parties' January 8 final proposals and may not be construed as a concession by any party that the

range reflects an appropriate, reasonable, or proportionate number of document hits or a concession relating to the relevancy of any documents yielded by any terms.

11. This process supplements the requirements in the parties' prior stipulation, *see* Dkt. 573. To the extent that any provisions of this stipulation conflict with the parties' prior stipulation, this stipulation shall control.

### IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: March 31, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By: <u>/s/ Derek W. Loeser</u> Derek W. Loeser

Derek W. Loeser (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Cari Campen Laufenberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)

David Ko (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Adele A. Daniel (admitted pro hac vice)

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101 Tel.: (206) 623-1900 Fax: (206) 623-3384

dloeser@kellerrohrback.com lsarko@kellerrohrback.com gcappio@kellerrohrback.com claufenberg@kellerrohrback.com dko@kellerrohrback.com adaniel@kellerrohrback.com

Christopher Springer (SBN 291180) 801 Garden Street, Suite 301

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Tel.: (805) 456-1496 Fax: (805) 456-1497

cspringer@kellerrohrback.com

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP

By: <u>/s/ Lesley E. Weaver</u> Lesley E. Weaver

Lesley E. Weaver (SBN 191305) Anne K. Davis (SBN 267909)

Matthew P. Montgomery (SBN 180196) Angelica M. Ornelas (SBN 285929) Joshua D. Samra (SBN 313050)

555 12th Street, Suite 1600

Oakland, CA 94607 Tel.: (415) 445-4003 Fax: (415) 445-4020 lweaver@bfalaw.com adavis@bfalaw.com

mmontgomery@bfalaw.com

aornelas@bfalaw.com jsamra@bfalaw.com

### GIBSON, DUNN, & CRUTCHER LLP

By: /s/ Orin Snyder

Orin Snyder

Orin Snyder (pro hac vice) osnyder@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-0193 Telephone: 212.351.4000

Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Deborah Stein (SBN 224570)

dstein@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197

Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

Joshua S. Lipshutz (SBN 242557) jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306 Telephone: 202.955.8500

Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539

Kristin A. Linsley (SBN 154148) klinsley@gibsondunn.com Martie Kutscher (SBN 302650) mkutscherclark@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921

Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Attorneys for Defendant Facebook, Inc.

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 6, 2021

HON. JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE